ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

A Critical Study on *Vicharchika* and Its Comparative Management with *Durvadi Lepa* and *Abhayaristadi Churna* w.s.r. to Eczema

Narayan Sahu¹*[®], Sarita Mohanta²[®], P. K. Panda³[®], Utkalini Nayak⁴[®], Sushmirekha Panda⁵[®]

¹PG Scholar, Department of Rognidan Evum Vikriti Vigyana, Government Ayurvedic College and Hospital, Balangir, Odisha, India.
 ²Professor and Head, Department of Rognidan Evum Vikriti Vigyana, Governmentt. Ayurvedic College and Hospital, Balangir, Odisha, India.
 ³Dean, Department of Rognidan Evum Vikriti Vigyana, SSCAS and RH, Sri Sri University, Cuttack, Odisha, India.
 ⁴Reader and Head, Department of Rognidan Evum Vikriti Vigyana, Government Ayurvedic College and Hospital, Balangir, Odisha, India.
 ⁵PG Scholar, All India Institute of Medical Science, Bibinagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received on: 01-08-2023 Accepted on: 16-09-2023 Available online: 30-09-2023

Key words: Dermatitis, Eczema, Kshudra roga, Kustha, Mahakustha, Vicharchika

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The skin is one of the largest organs and mirror image of the body, constitutes 16% of the human body weight. It weighs around 5 kg and covers an area of about 2 square meters. It covers the whole surface of the body. As per the symptometology, Vicharchika has been directly correlated with eczema (dermatitis) in modern science, which is defined as a non-contagious inflammation, due to low grade infection of skin characterized by erythema, scaling, edema, vasiculation, and oozing. In today's medical practice, there is no suitable therapy. Only local use of steroids produces symptomatic relief. *Shodhan* and *Shaman* are the main therapy options for *Vicharchika* in *Ayurveda*. *Durvadi lepa* and *Abhayaristadi churna* are the formulations mentioned in *Bhaisajya ratnavali* indicated for the management of *Kustha*.

Aims and Objectives: The aim of the study was to study about the comparative clinical efficacy of *Durvadi lepa* and *Abhayaristadi churna* in the management of *Vicharchika*.

Materials and Methods: This is a single-blind comparative clinical study with a pre-test and post-test design. The patients were randomly categorized into two groups. Thirty patients of Group A (15) and Group B (15) patients were registered from outpatient department and inpatient department of Government Ayurvedic College and Hospital, Balangir, presented with subjective parameters and objective parameters. After diagnosis, they were under trial with *Ayurvedic* formulations of *Durvadi Lepa* given 10 g once daily at evening time for local application and *Abhayaristadi Churna* given 3–6 g twice daily after food with luke warm water for a period of 30 days, respectively. The subjective and objective parameters were assessed in 10-day interval to interpret the result by statistical evaluation.

Observation and Results: It had been observed that the result of trial drug Group A patients was significant (0.05) to reduce both subjective and objective parameters after 30 days of treatment as compared to Group B patients. In Group A, 93.33% of patients had marked improvement, while 6.67% of patients had moderate improvement and 0.00% mildly improved. In Group B, 66.67% of patients had marked improvement and 20.00% moderate and 13.33% mildly improved. In both groups, the result was statistically significant, but improvement was noticed more in Group A.

Conclusion: On comparison between two groups, *Durvadi lepa* had shown more effect than *Abhayaristadi churna*. No adverse effects were noticed during clinical trial in both groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Ayurveda, "kushhta" is defined as "twachaha kurvanti vaivarnya dustah kusthamushanti tat"^[1] the disease which destroys the skin etc.,

Corresponding Author:

Narayan Sahu,

PG Scholar, Department of Rognidan Evum Vikriti Vigyana, Government Ayurvedic College and Hospital, Balangir, Odisha, India. Email: narayanbams@gmail.com *dhatus* of the human body is called *kushtha*. All the skin diseases are included under *Kushtaroga*.^[2] According to *Ayurveda*, there are two types of *Kushtaroga*,^[3] which is *Mahakushta* and *Kshudrakustha* which are again classified into seven types and 11 types, respectively.^[4] It is classified as one of the "*Astha Mahagada*." Vicharchika is one among the *Kshudra kustha*. The meaning of Vicharchika is "cracking of skin" mainly seen on the skin of hand and legs. The symptoms

© 2023 Narayan Sahu *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY NC ND) (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

of Vicharchika are Shyava pidika (skin eruption with discoloration), Bahusrava (profuse discharge), Kandu (severe itching), and Ruja (pain).^[5] Skin infections are more prevalent in children and people from lower socioeconomic categories due to poor hygiene. As Kustha is caused due to Viruddha ahara which leads to Agnimandya.[6] There are many causes of Vicharchika, excessive consumption of foods that are dry, stale and cold, salty, spicy sour, fermented or fried, late night work schedules, regular late-night dinners, excessive physical, mental and sexual activities, and the stress is responsible for causing Vicharchika. Excessive intake of teas, coffee, alcoholic beverages, aerated drinks, indigestion, constipation, acidity, or flatulence too can cause eczema. The etiological factors are varied in Vicharchika, but Virudha ahar and Vegadharana (holding of natural urges) are the major causative factors, and vitiation of seven body elements, that is, three Doshas, four Dushyas, namely, Skin (Twak), Rakta, Mansa, and Ambu.[7] It can be correlated with eczema in modern dermatology, based on the clinical presentations. The term eczema means to "boil out" (ec=out, zema=boil) that the skin is boiling out or oozing out. Eczema is an inflammatory reaction pattern of the skin. Characterized by variable intensity of itching and soreness, crusting, dryness, erythema, excoriation, exudation, fissuring, hyperpigmentation, Lichenification, oozing, scaling, and vasculation.^[8] Skin diseases result in disfigurement and depression. Now a days, skin diseases are very common. Patients of skin diseases are always experienced with physical, emotional, and socioeconomic embarrassment in the society. Normally, 10-15% of people are attained with skin disorders and it is the second most common cause of their loss of work.[9] The modern science has greatly advanced, particularly in dermatology but there is no specific medication for sure cure of eczema but symptomatic treatments like steroids are used, but they produce serious side effects such as nephrotoxicity, osteoporosis, and skin cancer. Whereas Ayurveda treats from the root of eczema by cleansing vitiated Dosha and balancing the Dosha and Dhatu without any side effects. The mainstay of treatment in Ayurveda for Vicharchika is Shodhana, shamana which eliminates the vitiated Doshas. Parallel to Shodhana, Shamanoushadis help to correct the Dhatus and bring them to normalcy.

Among various *Kalpanas*, *Lepa kalpana* and *Churna kalpana* are used more profusely to treat diseases nowadays. For the ease of patient suffering from *Vicharchika*, a clinical trial of *Durvadi lepa* and *Abhayaristadi churna* on 30 patients (divided into two groups) was done for 30 days.

1.1. Aims and Objectives

The objectives of the study are as follows:

- To study the comparative effect of *Durvadi lepa* and *Abhayaristadi* churna in the management of *Vicharchika*
- To find out the effective treatment of Vicharchika in ayurveda
- To correlate *Vicharchika* described in *Ayurveda* with modern parlance.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Selection of Patients

This is a single-blind comparative clinical study with a pre-test and post-test design. The patients were randomly categorized into two groups. The total of 30 patients (Group-A 15 and Group-B 15) had been selected by a special proforma covering demography along with both subjective and objective parameters from outpatient department (OPD) and inpatient department of Government Ayurvedic College and Hospital, Balangir, and Saradeswari Government Ayurvedic Hospital, Balangir. The consent of patient was also taken before clinical trial.

2.2. Diagnosis Criteria

The patient were diagnosed on the basis of subjective parameter and objective parameter for the diagnosis of *Vicharchika*. The subjective parameters were *Kandu*, *Pidaka*, *Shyava*, *Bahusrava*, *Raji*, *Arti*, and *Rukshya*, and objective parameters were differential count (DC), total leukocyte count (TLC), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), fasting blood sugar (FBS), and immunoglobulin E (IgE).

2.3. Inclusion Criteria

- Patients of both sexes in between 12 and 60 years of age with classical signs and symptoms like *Kandu*, *Pidaka*, *Shyava*, and *Bahusrava* of *Vicharchika* will be included in the study
- Eczema infections as per modern science Atopic dermatitis, contact dermatitis, Dyshidrotic eczema, Neurodermatitis, Nummular eczema, Stasis dermatitis will be included in this study.

2.4. Exclusion Criteria

The following criteria were excluded from the study:

- Patients <12 years and more than 60 years
- Patients with systemic disorders such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, leprosy, anemia, any carcinogenic disorder, and HIVpositive patients
- Patients having signs and symptoms of other skin disorders such as TB skin, scabies, and eczema.
- Pregnant woman and lactating mother
- undergone recent surgeries
- Taking immunosuppressive medicines.

2.5. Selection of Drugs

Two medicines *Durvadi lepa* and *Abhayaristadi churna* had been taken for clinical trial. The drugs of both medicines were identified by the experts of Dept. of *Dravyaguna* and *Rashasastra* and *Bhaisajya kalpana* which were approved by DRC and IEC of Government Ayurvedic College and Hospital, Balangir, and Sambalpur University. Medicines were prepared as per GMP certified method in Mini Pharmacy of College under the supervision of expert of *Rashasashtra* and *Bhaisajya Kalpana*. The sample of research medicines was sent to Quality control Laboratories of ALN Rao Memorial *Ayurvedic* Medical College and PG Centre Koppa, Dist. Chikmagalur, Karnataka for Analytical study. [Table 1] showing the pharmacodynamics of *Durvadi Lepa*. [Table 2] showing the pharmacodynamics of *Abhayaristadi churna*.

2.6. Dose

- Group A: Patients were advised to take *Durvadi Lepa* 10 g once at evening time daily
- Group B: *Abhayaristadi Churna* 3–6 g twice a day after food with luke warm water.

2.7. Assessment Criteria

The subjective and objective parameters as per inclusion criteria were assessed by the grading score from 0 to 3 according to the severity of disease and favorable shift to back. Both parameters were followed up 10^{th} , 20^{th} , and 30^{th} day of medication. [Table 3] showing the assessment of subjective and objective parameters of *Vicharchika*.

3. OBSERVATION AND RESULTS

The clinical study period of 30 patients was taken from October 03, 2022 to May 29, 2023. Table 4 mentions the demographic incidence

of registered patient. Along with incidence of *Dasavidha parikshya*, [Table 5] was observed and assessed. [Table 6]: Incidence of *Astavidha Pariksha* of registered patients. Total Patients as per disease and percentage of improvement in Group A and Group B in both subjective and objective parameter showing [Table 7]. [Table 8] showing the statistical analysis of subjective parameter. [Table 9] showing the statistical analysis of objective parameter. [Table 10] showing the statistical analysis of objective parameter. [Table 11] showing clinical assessment of result in Group A and Group B.

4. DISCUSSION

The three vitiated Doshas of Vata, Pitta, and Kapha, as well as impaired Twak, Rakta, Mamsa, and Ambu, collectively make up seven crucial elements that contribute to the pathogenesis of this skin illness, with Kapha being the main Dosha in Vicharchika. Poor food and lifestyle choices contribute to eczema or Vicharchika, which compromises digestion and aggravates Kapha dosha. When Kapha is present, it shows up in the skin and builds up toxins. Eating too much fish, new grains, curds, and sour or salty meals. Black grams, radish, foods made from flour paste, sesame, and items made with milk and jaggery. Vicharchika's etiology and risk factors include engaging in sexual activity even when food has not fully digested (sex right after eating), sleeping during the day, insulting peers like Brahmins, Gurus, and other respected individuals, as well as engaging in sinful behavior. Due to Virudha ahara and suppression of natural urges, all diseases occur. In case of kustha roga, Virudha ahara plays an important role for the development of this disease. Brihtrayees and other Acharyas classified kustha roga into 18 types. Vicharchika is one among them. Vicharchika can be correlated with Eczema. The description of the disease Vicharchika is found since Samhita period. The detail of Vicharchika was discussed in the form of Nidana (Causative factor), Rupa (symptoms), Samprapti (Pathogenesis), and treatment which are described in various classical books. All these features were taken into consideration for this study as well as etiology, pathogenesis, clinical features, and treatment described in Modern science were also followed during research work. The aim of the present study was to study the effect of Durvadi lepa and Abhayaristadi churna on Vicharchika. Durvadi Lepa and Abhayaristadi churna were selected from Bhaisajya ratnavali. The whole study was performed in two groups, that is, 1. Group-A treated with Durvadi Lepa 10 g once at evening time daily and 2. Group-B treated with Abhayaristadi Churna 3-6 g twice daily after food with Luke warm water.

4.1. Discussion on Demographic Incidence

Data collected in present disease in the age group of 12–60 years was studied. It was observed from demographical study that most of the patients were found from middle aged, that is, 43.33% and equal number of male and females are involved, that is, 50% and 50%. About 100.00% were Hindus, Farmer, and Laborer 20% and 20%. About 96.67% were no treatment history for this disease, 100.00% having no family history, 90.00% having no history of past illness. Married were 83.33%, lower class were 56.67%. Educated were 53.33%, all of the patients were taking mixed diet, 50% of patients were found to addicted to tea and alcohol. About 40.00% had less or disturbed sleep, 93.33% were having abnormal bowel habit, 63.33 having normal micturition pattern. About 33.33% of female patients had normal menstrual history.

It was observed that maximum number of patients were having Vata-pitta Prakriti with the predominance of Madhyama satwa-sara-samhananasatmya-pramana-jaranashakti-vyayamashakti and Madhyama vaya. The overall assessment revealed that in Group A, 93.33% of patients had marked improvement, while 6.67% of patients had moderate improvement and 0.00% mildly improved. In Group B, 66.67% of patients had marked improvement and 20.00% moderate, and 13.33% mildly improved. In both groups, the result was statistically significant, but the improvement was noticed more in Group A. Overall comparison showed that the best results were obtained in Group A (*Durvadi lepa*) in the form of better clinical response and statistical significance. The present study reveals that *Durvadi lepa* has potential effect on *Vicharchika* with the added advantage of being free from side effects.

From the study is seen that the younger and adult mainly suffer from this disease. This can be due to their lifestyle, and any disease history. In the present study, most of the patients registered were farmer and labor, that is, 20% and 20%. It may be due to majority of abor patient coming to OPD. Relation of any occupation is not seen behind the occurrence of Vicharchika (Eczema). In the present study, maximum patients, that is, were taking (50.00%) mixed diet. Any type of diet whether vegetarian or non-vegetarian does not have any direct link to produced Vicharchika (Eczema) but extra fat of meat which may lead to Agni dushti, constipation, and pain. In the present study, maximum patient, that is, 50.00% of patient taking tea and coffee. In the present study, maximum number of patients have found abnormal bowel habit (93.33%). The abnormal bowel may be due to mandagni. Due to mandagni, the food particles does not digested properly as a result constipation occurs. Maximum numbers of patient 30 (100%) of this study were from Jangala desha. This does not give any relation with the incidence of disease because the study was conducted mostly in the Jangala desha. In this study, majority of the patients, that is, 40% had disturbed sleep. This may be due to Vata prakopa and unstable mind in Vicharchika.

4.2. Discussion on Dasavidha Parikshya

It was observed that maximum number of patients were having *Vatapittaja prakriti* with the predominance of *Madhyama Vala-Satwa-Sara-Samhanana-SatmyaPramana-Vyayamashakti-Madhyama Vaya* and *Avara Ahara Shakti.*

4.3. Discussion on Astavidha Parikshya

It was observed that maximum number of patients were having *Vatapittaja Prakriti* with the predominance of *Pandura varna*, *Sushka*, *Khara*, *Prakrita*, *Kathina*, *Manda*, and *Krisha akriti*.

4.4. Discussion on Subjective and Objective Parameter [Table 3]

4.4.1. Kandu

In Group A, the percentage of effect was 94.59% and in Group B, the percentage of effect was 88.07%. The *P*-value for both groups is <0.05. Hence, both groups are significant but in comparison, Group A shows more significant results than Group B.

4.4.2. Pidaka

In Group A, the percentage of effect was 86.35% and in Group B, the percentage of effect was 82.07%. The *P*-value for both groups is <0.05. Hence, both groups are significant but in comparison, Group A shows more significant results than Group B.

4.4.3. Erythema

In Group A, the percentage of effect was 93.03% and in Group B, the percentage of effect was 83.88%. The *P*-value for both the groups is significant but in comparison, Group A shows more significant results than Group B.

4.4.4. Oozing

In Group A, the percentage of effect was 94.44% and in Group B, the percentage of effect was 88.00%. The *P*-value for the groups is significant but in comparison, Group A shows more significant results than Group B.

4.4.5. Thickening of skin

In Group A, the percentage of effect was 84.44% and in Group B, the percentage of effect was 77.84%. The *P*-value for both groups is <0.05. Hence, both groups are significant but in comparison, Group A shows more significant results than Group B.

4.4.6. Pain

Jwara: In Group A, the percentage of effect was 100% and in Group B, the percentage of effect was 100%. The *P*-value for both groups is <0.05. Hence, both the groups are significant.

4.4.7. Size of lesions

In Group A, the percentage of effect was 80.00% and in Group B, the percentage of effect was 74.58%. The *P*-value for the groups is significant but in comparison, Group A shows more significant results than Group B.

4.4.8. Number of lesions

In Group A, the percentage of effect was 88.89% and in Group B, the percentage of effect was 78.33%. The *P*-value for the groups is significant but in comparison, Group A shows more significant results than Group B.

4.4.9. Neutrophil

In Group A, the percentage of effect was 6.53% and in Group B, the percentage of effect was 1.14%. The *P*-value for the groups is significant but in comparison, Group B shows more significant result than Group A.

4.4.10. Eosinophil

In Group A, the percentage of effect was 56.25% and in Group B, the percentage of effect was 47.170%. The *P*-value for the groups is significant but in comparison, Group A shows more significant results than Group B.

4.4.11. Basophil

In Group A, the percentage of effect was 0.00% and in Group B, the percentage of effect was 0.00%. The *P*-value for the groups is non-significant.

4.4.12. Lymphocyte

In Group A, the percentage of effect was 3.43% and in Group B, the percentage of effect was 10.46%. The *P*-value for the groups is significant but in comparison, Group B shows more significant results than Group A.

4.4.13. Monocyte

In Group A, the percentage of effect was not applicable and in Group B, the percentage of effect was not applicable – value for Group A and Group B is >0.05. Hence, we can conclude that effect observed in Group A and Group B is not significant.

4.4.14. TLC

In Group A, the percentage of effect was not applicable and in Group B, the percentage of effect was not applicable – value for Group A and Group B is >0.05. Hence, we can conclude that effect observed in Group A and Group B is not significant.

4.4.15. FBS

In Group A, the percentage of effect was not applicable and in Group B, the percentage of effect was not applicable – Value for Group A and Group B is >0.05. Hence, we can conclude that effect observed in Group A and Group B is not significant.

4.4.16. ESR

In Group A, the percentage of effect was 100.00% and in Group B, the percentage of effect was 93.33%. The *P*-value for the groups is significant but in comparison, Group A shows more significant results than Group B.

4.4.17. IgE

In Group A, the percentage of effect was 100.00% and in Group B, the percentage of effect was 100.00%. The *P*-value for both groups is significant.

4.5. Probable Mode of Action

Durvadi Lepa^[10] which contains Durva, Chakramarda, Tulasi, Haritaki, and Saindhav lavana has described in Bhaisajya Ratnavali Kustharoga Adhikara in the management of Kushtha. In this formulation, most drugs have Kushtaghna, Kandughna, and Lekhana properties. Durva having Kashaya, Madhura rasa, Madhura vipaka, and Sheeta virya; it acts as Pittaghna, Vranaropana, Dahaprasamana, and Varnya.[11] Chakramarda having Katu rasa and Vipaka, Ushna virya so it acts Kaphavatashamaka, Kustaghna, and Vishaghna.^[12] Tulsi having Katu, Tikta rasa, Katu vipaka, and Ushna virya; it acts as Kaphaghan, Sothaghna, and Durgandhanasana.^[13] Haritaki having Pancha rasa (Katu, Tikta, Kashaya, Madhur, and Amla Rasa), Lavana varjita Kashaya pradhan, Ushna virya, Madhur vipaka acts Tridoshahar, Sothahara, Vranasodhana, and Vranaropana.^[14] Saindhav Lavan having Snigdha, Tikshna, Sukshma and Sheet Virya, Madhur Vipaki; so it acts as Pittaghan and Kaphaghan.^[15] Kanji and Takra have Kaphavatanashak.^[16] The selected drug having Kushtaghna, Dadrughna, Kapha-pitta shamaka properties, we can used it in Vicharchika.

Abhayaristadi Churna^[17] which contains Haritaki and Nimba has described in Bhaisajya Ratnavali kustharoga Adhikara in the management of Kushtha. Haritaki having Pancha rasa (Katu, Tikta, Kashaya, Madhur, and Amla Rasa), Lavana Varjita Kashaya Pradhan, Ushna Virya, Madhur Vipak acts Tridoshahar, Sothahara, Vranasodhana, and Vranaropana. Nimba having Tikta Kashaya rasa, Katu vipaka, Sheeta virya it acts as Kaphapittashamaka, Vranapachana, Vrana sodhana, and Kandughna properties.^[18]

Azadirachta indica shows therapeutics role in health management due to rich source of various types of ingredients. The most important active constituent is azadirachtin and the others are nimbolinin, nimbin, nimbidol, sodium nimbinate, gedunin, salannin, and quercetin. It contains antibacterial and antifungal properties and seeds hold valuable constituents including gedunin and azadirachtin.

The overall assessment showed in Table 11 revealed that in Group A, 93.33% of patients had marked improvement, while 6.67% of patients had moderate improvement and 0.00% mildly improved. In Group B, 66.67% of patients had marked improvement and 20.00% moderate, and 13.33% mildly improved. In both groups, the result was statistically significant, but improvement was noticed more in Group A.

Table 11 showing clinical assessment of result in Group A and Group B in assessing overall effect of therapy, [Graph 3], it was seen that overall comparison showed that the best results were obtained in Group A (*Durvadi Lepa*) in the form of better clinical response and statistical significance. The present study reveals that *Durvadi Lepa* has potential effect on *Vicharchika* with the added advantage of being free from side effects.

5. CONCLUSION

A total 30 of patients were registered for the study, and all of them turn up for follow-up. Hence, clinical study was carried out on 30 patients. The outcome of clinical study was significant statistically in intergroup comparison. On comparison between two groups, Durvadi lepa has shown more effect than Abhayaristadi Churna. Both the selected formulations showed better results with its Kushtaghna, Kandughna, and Lekhana properties. The positive point observed during the study that there were no side effects seen during the trail, which is really a good sign to the patients and is of vital importance in view of the global acceptance of Ayurveda. Encourage all to Vicharchika patient should consume easily digestible and wholesome food, green leafy vegetables bitter in taste, food, and ghee prepared by fortifying with Bhallataka, Triphala, and Nimba, 1-year-old cereals, meat of animals inhabiting from arid area, and preparations of Mudga and Patola. Patient should avoid food which is heavy to digest, sour food, milk, curd, meat of animals residing in marshy area, fish, jaggery, and sesame. Udaka and Anupa Mamsa, Shaka, Pishtakrita, Tila, Vyayama, Divaswapna Yana, Ushnaahara, Lavana, and Amla, Vidahi foods, Guru, and Toya.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Nil.

7. AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

All the authors contributed equally in the design and execution of the article.

8. FUNDING

Nil.

9. ETHICAL APPROVALS

Approval of synopsis for human trial was obtained from the Institutional Ethical Committee IEC Number- 1081/G.A.C and H Dated May 26, 2021. The study was registered in the Clinical Trial Registry of India (CTRI Registration No.- CTRI/2023/02/049415 on dated July 29, 2022)

10. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Nil.

11. DATA AVAIBALITY

This is an original manuscript and all data are available for only review purposes from principal investigators.

12. PUBLISHERS NOTE

This journal remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published institutional affiliation.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sharma PV, editor. Charaka Samhita. Vol. 1, 2., Ch. Chi.7/13. Varanasi: Chaukambha Orientatlia; 2011.
- Sharma PV, editor. Charaka Samhita. Vol. 1, 2., Ch. Chi.7/30. Varanasi: Chaukambha Orientatlia; 2011.
- Vagbhatta AH. Sarvangasundari commentary of arunadatta and ayurveda rasayana of hemadri. In: Shashtri HS. Nidana Sthana. Vol. 14. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surbharati Prakashan; 2007. p. 525.
- 4. Sharma PV, editor. Charaka Samhita. Vol. 1, 2., Ch. Chi.7/13. Varanasi: Chaukambha Orientatlia; 2011.
- 5. Sharma PV, editor. Charaka Samhita. Vol. 1, 2., Ch. Chi.7/26. Varanasi: Chaukambha Orientatlia; 2011.
- Sharma PV, editor. Charaka Samhita. Vol. 1, 2., Ch. Chi.7/4. Varanasi: Chaukambha Orientatlia; 2011.
- Sharma PV, editor. Charaka Samhita. Vol. 1, 2., Ch. Chi.7/5-6. Varanasi: Chaukambha Orientatlia; 2011.
- Fauci AS, Kasper DL, editors. Text book of Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine. 19th ed., Vol. 2. New York: McGraw-Hill Education; 2009.
- Fauci AS, Kasper DL, editors. Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine Part, 2. 17th ed., Ch. 54. New York: McGraw-Hill Medical Publication; 2011. p. 324.
- Sharma L. Durvadi Lepa, Bhaisajya Ratnavali (Chakradatta) Kustha Roga Adhikara, Sloka No-54/14. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Bharati Academy Edition; 2005. p. 860.
- Sharma PS. Dravya Guna Vigyan. Vol. 2. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Bharati Academy Edition; 2005. p. 580.
- Sharma PS. Dravya Guna Vigyan. Vol. 2. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Bharati Academy Edition; 2005. p. 187.
- Sharma PS. Dravya Guna Vigyan. Vol. 2. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Bharati Academy Edition; 2005. p. 710.
- Sharma PS. Dravya Guna Vigyan. Vol. 2. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Bharati Academy Edition; 2005. p. 674.
- Mishra B. Bhava Prakasha, Hindi Commentary, Haritakyadi Varga. Nighantu Part. 11th ed. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Sansthana; 2004. p. 241-9.
- Sushruta SS. Nibandha sangraha commentary of dalhana and nyaya chandrika panjika of gayadasa on nidanasthana. In: Acharya YT, Acharya NR, editors. Sutrasthana Sthana. Vol. 45/84. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Orientalia; 2007. p. 226.
- Sharma PV. Abhayaristadi Churna, Bhaisajya Ratnavali (Chakradatta) Kustha Roga Adhikara, Sloka No-54/58. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Bharati Academy; 2005. p. 866.
- Sharma PV. Dravya Guna Vigyan, Priyabrata Sharma. Vol. 2. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Bharati Academy Edition; 2005. p. 149.

How to cite this article:

Sahu N, Mohanta S, Panda PK, Nayak U, Panda S. A Critical Study on *Vicharchika* and Its Comparative Management with *Durvadi Lepa* and *Abhayaristadi Churna* w.s.r. to Eczema. IRJAY. [online] 2023;6(9);1-10. Available from: https://irjay.com DOI link- https://doi.org/10.47223/IRJAY.2023.6901

| Table1: | The pharmacodynam | ics of <i>Durvadi Lepa</i> | | | | |
|---------|-------------------|---|--|--|---------|-------------------|
| S. No. | Name | Rasa | Guna | Virya | Vipaka | Doshaghnata |
| 1. | Durva | Kashaya, madhura | Laghu | Sheeta | Madhura | kaphapittashamaka |
| 2. | Haritaki | Pancharasa(Lavanavarjita Kashaya Pradhana) | Laghu, Ruksha | Ushna | Madhura | Tridoshahara |
| 3. | Chakramarda | katu | Laghu, Ruksha | Ushna | Katu | Kaphavatashamaka |
| 4. | Tulasi | Katu, Tikta | Laghu, Rukṣa | Uhsna | Katu | Kaphavatahara |
| 5. | Saindhava Lavana | Lavana, Madhura | Snigdha, Tikshna, Sukshma and Laghu | Anushna Sheeta and Sheeta(According to Bhavaprakasha) | Madhura | Tridoshahara |

Table2: The pharmacodynamics of Abhayaristadi churna

| S. No. | Name | Rasa | Guna | Virya | Vipaka | Doshaghnata |
|--------|----------|--|----------------|-------|---------|----------------|
| | Haritaki | Pancharasa(Lavanavarjita kashaya pradhana) | Laghu, ruksha | Ushna | Madhura | Tridoshahara |
| | Nimba | Tikta, Kasaya | Laghu, rukshya | Sita | Katu | Kaphapittahara |

| Illness | Severity | Grade | Illness | Severity | Grade |
|--------------------|---|-------|-------------------|--|-------|
| Kandu | Severe itching in the affected area | 3 | Nature of lesions | Prominent visible lesions with discharge | 3 |
| | Mild itching to moderate itching | 2 | | Moderately visible lesion | 2 |
| | Occasionally itching | 1 | | Mild visible lesion | 1 |
| | No itching | 0 | | No lesion | 0 |
| Pidaka | Cover all the affected regions | 3 | Size of lesions | 3 cm/more than 3 cm | 3 |
| | Moderately developed papular eruption | 2 | | 2–3 cm | 2 |
| | Very few papular eruption | 1 | | 1–2 cm | 1 |
| | No papular eruption | 0 | | Below 0.5/1 cm | 0 |
| Erythema | Blackish blue color of affected area | 3 | Number of lesions | three lesions/more than three lesions | 3 |
| | Red color of affected area | 2 | | two lesions | 2 |
| | Not present | 1 | | Only one lesion | 1 |
| | Absent | 0 | | No lesions | 0 |
| Oozing | Severely oozing from affected part | 3 | TLC | >13,500/Cu mm | 3 |
| | Mild-to-moderate oozing from affected | 2 | | 12,000–13,500/Cu mm | 2 |
| | Part | 1 | | 10,500–12,000/Cu mm | 1 |
| | Occasionally present | 0 | | 4500–10,500/Cu mm | 0 |
| Thickening of skin | Thickening of skin over all affected area | 3 | FBS | >150 mg/dL | 3 |
| | Thickening of skin in most part of affected | 2 | | 126–150 mg/dL | 2 |
| | area | | | 101–125 mg/dL | 1 |
| | Very few part affected | l | | 70–100 mg/dL | 0 |
| D | No thickening at all | 0 | ESR | >30 mm/h | 3 |
| Pain | Severe pain in affected area | 3 | | 21–30 mm/h | 2 |
| | Mild to moderately pain in lesions | 2 | | 11–20 mm/h | 1 |
| | Occasionally pain in lesions No pain | 1 | | <10 mm/h | 0 |

(Contd...)

TLC: Total leukocyte count, ESR: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, FBS: Fasting blood sugar

| S. No. | Criteria | Maximum percentage | Category |
|--------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 1. | Age | 43.33 | 46–60years |
| 2. | Sex | 50 and 50 | Male and Female |
| 3. | Religion | 100.00 | Hindu |
| 4. | Occupation | 20 and 20 | Farmer and Labourer |
| 5. | Treatment history | 96.67 | No treatment history |
| 6. | Family history | 100.00 | No family history |
| 7. | Desa | 100.00 | Jangal desa |
| 8. | Marital status | 83.33 | Married |
| 9. | Socioeconomical status | 56.67 | Lower class |
| 10. | Education status | 53.33 | Literate |
| 11. | Dietary habit | 50 | Samashana |
| 12. | Addiction | 50 | Tea |
| 13. | Sleeping habit | 60 | Normal |
| 14. | Bowel habit | 93.33 | Abnormal |
| 15. | Urine habit | 63.33 | Normal |
| 16. | Menstrual history | 33.33 | Regular |
| 17. | Mode of onset | 100.00 | Gradual |

 Table 4: Demographic incidence of registered patients(n=30)

Table 5: Incidence of Dasavidha pariksha of registered patients(n=30)

| S. No. | Criteria | Maximum percentage | Category |
|--------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| 1. | Prakriti | 43.33 | vatapittaja |
| 2. | Vikriti | 63.33 | Avara Vala Vyadhi |
| 3. | Sara | 43.33 | Asthi sarata |
| 4. | Samhanana | 66.67 | madhyama |
| 5. | Pramana | 96.67 | madhyama |
| 6. | Satva | 96.67 | madhyama |
| 7. | Satmya | 100.00 | madhyama |
| 8. | Ahara Shakti | 90.00 | madhyama |
| 9. | Vyayama Shakti | 83.33 | Pravara |
| 10. | Vaya | 66.67 | Madhyavastha |

Table 6: Incidence of Astavidha pariksha of registered patients(n=30)

| S. No. | Criteria | Maximum percentage | Category |
|--------|----------|--------------------|---------------|
| 1. | Nadi | 46.67 | Vatapittaja |
| 2. | Mutra | 50 | Pandura varna |
| 3. | Mala | 26.67 | Sushka |
| 4. | Jihva | 53.33 | Khara |
| 5. | Sabda | 70 | Prakrita |
| 6. | Sparsha | 40 | Kathina |
| 7. | Drik | 40 | Manda |
| 8. | Akriti | 46.67 | Krisha |

| Subjective and objective | Gro | up A | Gro | up B | Group A | Group B |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| parameter | Frequency | Percentage | Frequency | Percentage | % of improve | % of improve |
| Kandu | n | % | n | % | % Effect | % Effect |
| Pidaka | 15 | 100.00 | 15 | 100.00 | 94.59 | 88.07 |
| Erythema | 15 | 100.00 | 15 | 100.00 | 86.35 | 82.07 |
| Oozing | 15 | 100.00 | 15 | 100.00 | 93.03 | 83.88 |
| Thickening of skin | 7 | 46.67 | 13 | 86.67 | 94.44 | 88.00 |
| Pain | 15 | 100.00 | 15 | 100.00 | 84.44 | 77.84 |
| Nature of lesions | 11 | 73.33 | 13 | 86.67 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Size of lesions | 14 | 93.33 | 15 | 100.00 | 80.00 | 74.58 |
| Number of lesions | 14 | 93.33 | 15 | 100.00 | 88.89 | 78.33 |
| TLC | 2 | 13.33 | 1 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| FBS | 12 | 80.00 | 13 | 86.67 | 100.00 | 93.33 |
| ESR | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 26.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table 7: Total Patients as per disease and Percentage of improvement in Group A and Group B(n=30) in both subjective and objective parameter(n=30)

TLC: Total leukocyte count, ESR: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, FBS: Fasting blood sugar

Table 8: The statistical analysis of subjective parameter(*n*=30)

| Subject parameter | Groups | Mean | Median | SD | SE | Wilcoxon W | <i>P</i> -value | % effect | Result |
|--------------------|---------|------|--------|------|------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|--------|
| Kandu | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 2.47 | 3.00 | 0.64 | 0.17 | -3.493 ^b | 0.0004784 | 94.59 | Sig |
| | AT | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.09 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 2.93 | 3.00 | 0.26 | 0.07 | -3.542 ^b | 0.0003964 | 88.07 | Sig |
| | AT | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.12 | | | | |
| Pidaka | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 2.93 | 3.00 | 0.46 | 0.12 | -3.542 ^b | 0.0003964 | 86.35 | Sig |
| | AT | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.13 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 2.73 | 3.00 | 0.46 | 0.12 | -3.520 ^b | 0.0004323 | 82.07 | Sig |
| | AT | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.13 | | | | |
| Erythema | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | BT | 2.87 | 3.00 | 0.52 | -3.542 ^b | 0.0003964 | 93.03 | Sig |
| | AT | AT | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.41 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | BT | 2.67 | 3.00 | 0.49 | -3.508 ^b | 0.0004511 | 83.88 | Sig |
| | AT | AT | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.41 | | | | |
| Oozing | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | BT | 1.20 | 0.00 | 1.06 | -2.460 ^b | 0.0138744 | 94.44 | Sig |
| | AT | AT | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.26 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | BT | 1.67 | 2.00 | 0.72 | -3.306 ^b | 0.0009461 | 88.00 | Sig |
| | AT | AT | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.77 | | | | |
| Thickening of skin | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -3.508^{b} | 0.0004511 | 84.44 | Sig |
| | AT | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.13 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 2.93 | 3.00 | 0.26 | 0.07 | -3.508^{b} | 0.0004511 | 77.84 | Sig |
| | AT | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.13 | | | | |

8

| Table 8: (Continued) | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|------|--------|------|------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|--------|
| Subject parameter | Groups | Mean | Median | SD | SE | Wilcoxon W | <i>P</i> -value | % effect | Result |
| Pain | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 1.33 | 2.00 | 0.90 | 0.23 | -3.127 ^b | 0.0017663 | 100.00 | Sig |
| | AT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 1.73 | 2.00 | 0.80 | 0.21 | -3.418 ^b | 0.0006318 | 100.00 | Sig |
| | AT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | |
| Nature of lesions | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -3.416 ^b | 0.0006363 | 80.00 | Sig |
| | AT | 0.60 | 1.00 | 0.51 | 0.13 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 2.95 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -3.542 ^b | 0.0003964 | 74.58 | Sig |
| | AT | 0.75 | 1.00 | 0.49 | 0.13 | | | | |
| Size of lesions | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -3.416 ^b | 0.0006363 | 80.00 | Sig |
| | AT | 0.60 | 1.00 | 0.51 | 0.13 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 2.95 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -3.542 ^b | 0.0003964 | 74.58 | Sig |
| | AT | 0.75 | 1.00 | 0.49 | 0.13 | | | | |
| Number of lesions | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -3.416 ^b | 0.0006363 | 88.89 | Sig |
| | AT | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.13 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -3.542 ^b | 0.0003964 | 78.33 | Sig |
| | AT | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.13 | | | | |

^bGrade

| Table 9: The statistical | analysis o | f objective | parameter of | f differential | count(n=30) |
|--------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | | | | |

| Objective parameter | Groups | n | Mean | SD | SE | Wilcoxon W | <i>P</i> -value | % effect | Result |
|---------------------|---------|----|-------|------|------|------------|-----------------|----------|--------|
| Neutrophils | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 15 | 59.20 | 9.10 | 2.35 | -1.685 | 0.114 | 6.532 | Sig |
| | AT | 15 | 63.07 | 1.03 | 0.27 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 15 | 64.27 | 8.38 | 2.16 | 0.339 | 0.740 | 1.141 | Sig |
| | AT | 15 | 63.53 | 0.52 | 0.13 | | | | |
| Eosinophils | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 15 | 9.60 | 3.58 | 0.92 | 5.872 | 0.000 | 56.250 | Sig |
| | AT | 15 | 4.20 | 0.41 | 0.11 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 15 | 7.07 | 2.40 | 0.62 | 5.493 | 0.000 | 47.170 | Sig |
| | AT | 15 | 3.73 | 0.59 | 0.15 | | | | |
| Basophils | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.000 | NS |
| | AT | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.000 | NS |
| Lymphocyte | AT | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 15 | 31.07 | 7.35 | 1.90 | -0.586 | 0.567 | 3.433 | Sig |
| | AT | 15 | 32.13 | 1.36 | 0.35 | | | | |

| Table 9: (Continued) | C | | | CD | CT. | **** | | 0/ 66 / | |
|----------------------|---------|----|-------|------|------|------------|-----------------|----------|--------|
| Objective parameter | Groups | n | Mean | SD | SE | Wilcoxon W | <i>P</i> -value | % effect | Result |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 15 | 28.67 | 8.87 | 2.29 | -1.340 | 0.201 | 10.465 | Sig |
| | AT | 15 | 31.67 | 0.90 | 0.23 | | | | |
| Monocytes | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 15 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 0.13 | -1.948 | 0.072 | 0.000 | NS |
| | AT | 15 | 0.67 | 0.98 | 0.25 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.849 | 0.075 | 0.000 | NS |
| | AT | 15 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.25 | | | | |

Table 10: The statistical analysis of objective parameter(n=30)

| Objective parameter | Groups | Mean | Median | SD | SE | Wilcoxon W | <i>P</i> -value | % Effect | Result |
|---------------------|---------|------|--------|------|------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|--------|
| TLC | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.09 | -1.414 ^b | 0.1572992 | NA | NS |
| | AT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.07 | -1.414 ^b | 0.1572992 | NA | NS |
| | AT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | |
| FBS | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000° | 1.0000000 | NA | NS |
| | AT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 0.73 | 0.00 | 1.28 | 0.33 | 0.000° | 1.0000000 | NA | NS |
| | AT | 0.73 | 0.00 | 1.28 | 0.33 | | | | |
| ESR | Group A | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.09 | -1.414 ^b | 0.1572992 | NA | NS |
| | AT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | Group B | | | | | | | | |
| | BT | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.07 | -1.414 ^b | 0.1572992 | NA | NS |
| | AT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | |

SD: Standard deviation, SE: Standard error, t: Test of significance, P: Probability, 0.05=No Significant at 5% level, TLC: Total leukocyte count, ESR: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, FBS: Fasting blood sugar, ^{b.c} Grade

| Table 11: Clinical assessment of result in Gro | up A and Group B |
|--|------------------|
|--|------------------|

| Overall effect | G | Froup A | Group B | | |
|----------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|--|
| | No of cases | Percentage | No of cases | Percentage | |
| Marked improvement | 14 | 93.33 | 10 | 66.67 | |
| Moderate improvement | 1 | 6.67 | 3 | 20.00 | |
| Mild improvement | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 13.33 | |
| No change | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| Total | 15 | 100.00 | 15 | 100.00 | |